## IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

MISC. CIVIL APPLN. (CONTEMPT PETITION) No 1022 of 1989

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE B.C.PATEL and MR.JUSTICE R.P.DHOLAKIA

\_\_\_\_\_\_

- Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
- 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

-----

SUKHADIA RASILABEN Z

Versus

RASIKBHAI P PATEL

\_\_\_\_\_

Appearance:

(MS BELA YAJNIK) for Petitioner MR AMIT M PANCHAL for Respondent No. 1

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE B.C.PATEL and MR.JUSTICE R.P.DHOLAKIA

Date of decision: 06/02/98

ORAL JUDGEMENT (Per : B.C. Patel)

As the petitioner is served and has not chosen to file appearance, the application is required to be treated as having been disposed of by the order passed by a Division Bench on 1-10-1997. The registry has made a note that the petitioner is served. In view of the order passed by the Division Bench on this application, this petition is disposed of. Rule discharged.

Sd/-

(B.C.Patel,J.)

Dt: 6-2-1998 (R.P.Dholakia,J.)

radhan/